

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/782,292	HASHIMOTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Alexander Eisen	2674

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Eisen.

(3) Eckard H. Kuester.

(2) Choongsoo Park.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04/02/04

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hashimoto Patent and Application Publication.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant's representative suggested that FIG. 8 of Hashimoto Patent does not teach features of claim 1, that the voltage level is controlled by timing and not by changing a slope of the pulse. Prior art does not specifically teaches this.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required 4/2/04